

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America

v.

DE ANDRE SCOTT

*Defendant*Case No. 4:08 CR 015 CDP
4:13 CR 078 CDP**DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL**

After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial.

Part I—Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ☐ a federal offense ☐ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in _____ .*
- ☐ a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
- ☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
- ☐ a minor victim
- ☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
- ☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of conviction ☐ the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in _____ .
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☒ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant appeared with counsel at a detention hearing on June 3, 2016. In addition to testimony at the hearing, the Report of the Pretrial Services Office submitted to the Court on June 2, 2016 is incorporated by reference as if fully set out herein. Counsel requested additional time to clarify information in the Pretrial Services Report regarding an outstanding warrant for Defendant. The Court allowed counsel until June 10, 2016 to provide additional information. No additional information has been received by the Court.

Part II—Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by ☐ clear and convincing evidence ☒ a preponderance of the evidence that

no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance. In 2008, Defendant was convicted in cause number 4:08CR15 of being a Felon in Possession of a Firearm. He received a sentence of 41 months imprisonment and two years of supervised release. In January 2015, Defendant's term of supervision was revoked and he was sentenced to 11 months imprisonment to run concurrent with cause number 4:13CR78. In that case, Defendant was charged with Escape from Custody. He was released on supervision in December 2015. On June 3, 2016, Defendant waived a preliminary supervised release revocation hearing and the undersigned found probable cause that Defendant violated several conditions of his supervised release, including testing positive for illegal substances and failing to participate in a cognitive behavioral treatment program. Given his previous revocations and his disregard for conditions of his supervised release, there is clear and convincing evidence that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of the community.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: 6/15/16

/s/ Nannette A. Baker

Judge's Signature

Nannette A. Baker, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Name and Title

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Page 2 of 2

Print

Save As...

Reset